arXiv:1405.5849v1 [math.FA] 22 May 2014

ON THE UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE CONSTANTS OF THE HARDY–LITTLEWOOD INEQUALITY

GUSTAVO ARAÚJO, DANIEL PELLEGRINO, AND DIOGO DINIZ P. DA SILVA E SILVA

Abstract. The best known upper estimates for the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for m-linear forms on ℓ_p spaces are of the form $\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1}$. We present better estimates which depend on p and m. An interesting consequence is that if $p \geq m^2$ then the constants have a subpolynomial growth as m tends to infinity.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Given an integer $m \geq 2$, the Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see [1, 8, 12]) asserts that for $2m \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant $C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \geq 1$ such that, for all continuous m–linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n,

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{n} |T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|. \tag{1}$$

Using the generalized Kahane-Salem-Zygmund inequality (see [1]) one can easily verify that the exponents $\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}$ are optimal. The case $p = \infty$ recovers the classical Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [4]). More precisely, it asserts that there exists a constant $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ such that for all continuous m–linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n,

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{n} |T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}} \|T\|. \tag{2}$$

From [3, 11] we know that $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ has a subpolynomial growth. On the other hand, the best known upper bounds for the constants in (1) are $\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1}$ (see [1, 2, 6]). In this paper we show that $\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1}$ can be improved to

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}$$

for real scalars and to

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}$$

for complex scalars. These estimates are quite better than $\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1}$ because $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ has a subpolynomial growth. Moreover, our estimates depend on p and m and catch more subtle information. For instance, if $p \geq m^2$ we conclude that $\left(C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ has a subpolynomial growth. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $2m \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, for all continuous m–linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n, we have*

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{n} |T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{mp+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{mp+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|$$

with

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}$$

The authors are supported by CNPq Grant 313797/2013-7 - PVE - Linha 2.

and

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}.$$

2. The proof

We recall that the Khinchin inequality (see [5]) asserts that for any $0 < q < \infty$, there are positive constants A_q, B_q such that regardless of the scalar sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in ℓ_2 we have

$$A_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j r_j(t)\right|^q dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where r_j are the Rademacher functions. More generally, from the above inequality together with the Minkowski inequality we know that

$$A_q^m \left(\sum_{j_1\ldots j_m=1}^{\infty} |a_{j_1\ldots j_m}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_I \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j_1\ldots j_m} r_{j_1}(t_1)\ldots r_{j_m}(t_m)\right|^q dt_1\ldots dt_m\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_q^m \left(\sum_{j_1\ldots j_m=1}^{\infty} |a_{j_1\ldots j_m}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3}$$

for $I = [0,1]^m$ and all $(a_{j_1\ldots j_m})_{j_1,\ldots,j_m=1}^{\infty}$ in ℓ_2. The notation of the constant A_q above will be used in all this paper.

Let $1 \leq s \leq 2$ and

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{2s}{ms + s - 2m + 2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{m-1}{s} + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} = \frac{m+1}{2},$$

from the generalized Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [1]) we know that there is a constant $C_m \geq 1$ such that for all m-linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \to \mathbb{K}$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n} |T(e_{j_1}, ..., e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}} \leq C_m \|T\|. \tag{4}$$

Above, $\sum\limits_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}$ means the sum over all j_k for all $k \neq i$. If we choose

$$s = \frac{2mp}{mp + p - 2m} \quad \text{if } p < \infty,$$

$$s = \frac{2m}{m+1} \quad \text{if } p = \infty,$$

we have

$$\lambda_0 \leq s \leq 2,$$

and $\lambda_0 = s$ when $p = \infty$.

The multiple exponent

$$(\lambda_0, s, s, ..., s)$$

can be obtained by interpolating the multiple exponents $(1, 2..., 2)$ and $\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}, ..., \frac{2m}{m+1}\right)$ with, respectively,

$$\theta_1 = 2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{s}\right)$$

$$\theta_2 = m\left(\frac{2}{s} - 1\right),$$

in the sense of [1].

It is thus important to control the constants associated to the multiple exponents $(1, 2..., 2)$ and $\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}, ..., \frac{2m}{m+1}\right)$.

The exponent $\left(\frac{2m}{m+1},...,\frac{2m}{m+1}\right)$ is the classical exponent of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality and the estimate of the constant associated to $(1,2...,2)$ is well-known (we present the details for the sake of completeness). In fact, in general, for the exponent $\left(\frac{2k}{k+1},...,\frac{2k}{k+1},2,...,2\right)$ (with $\frac{2k}{k+1}$ repeated k times and 2 repeated $m-k$ times), using the multiple Khinchin inequality (3) , we have, for all m-linear forms $T:\ell_\infty^n\times\cdots\times\ell_\infty^n\to\mathbb{K}$,

$$\left(\sum_{j_1,...,j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j_{k+1},...,j_m=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\frac{2k}{k+1}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}$$

$$\leq\left(\sum_{j_1,...,j_k=1}^{n}\left(A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)}\left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-k}}\left|\sum_{j_{k+1},...,j_m=1}^{n}r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1})...r_{j_m}(t_m)T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\frac{2k}{k+1}}dt_{k+1}...dt_m\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}\right)^{\frac{2k}{k+1}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}$$

$$=A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)}\left(\sum_{j_1,...,j_k=1}^{n}\int_{[0,1]^{m-k}}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_k},\sum_{j_{k+1}=1}^{n}r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1})e_{j_{k+1}},...,\sum_{j_m=1}^{n}r_{j_m}(t_m)e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\frac{2k}{k+1}}dt_{k+1}...dt_m\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}$$

$$=A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)}\left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-k}}\sum_{j_1,...,j_k=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_k},\sum_{j_{k+1}=1}^{n}r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1})e_{j_{k+1}},...,\sum_{j_m=1}^{n}r_{j_m}(t_m)e_{j_m}\right)\right|^{\frac{2k}{k+1}}dt_{k+1}...dt_m\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}$$

$$\leq A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)}\sup_{t_{k+1},...,t_m\in[0,1]}B_{\mathbb{K},k}^{\text{mult}}\left\|T\left(\cdot,...,\cdot,\sum_{j_{k+1}=1}^{n}r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1})e_{j_{k+1}},...,\sum_{j_m=1}^{n}r_{j_m}(t_m)e_{j_m}\right)\right\|$$

$$=A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)}B_{\mathbb{K},k}^{\text{mult}}\left\|T\right\|.$$

So, choosing $k=1$, since $A_1=\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{-1}$ and $B_{\mathbb{K},1}^{\text{mult}}=1$ we conclude that the constant associated to the multiple exponent $(1,2,...,2)$ is $\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1}$.

Therefore, the optimal constant associated to the multiple exponent

$$(\lambda_0,s,s,...,s)$$

is less or equal (for real scalars) than

$$\left(\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{m-1}\right)^{2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0}-\frac{1}{s}\right)}\left(B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}}\right)^{m\left(\frac{2}{s}-1\right)}$$

i.e.,

$$C_m\leq\left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}}\left(B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}. \tag{5}$$

More precisely, (4) is valid with C_m as above. For complex scalars we can use the Khinchin inequality for Steinhaus variables and replace $\sqrt{2}$ by $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ as in [10].

Let

$$\lambda_j=\frac{\lambda_0 p}{p-\lambda_0 j}$$

for all $j=0,....,m$. Note that

$$\lambda_m=s$$

and that

$$\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_j}\right)^*=\frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_j}$$

for all $j=0,...,m-1$.

Let us suppose that $1 \leq k \leq m$ and that

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \leq C_m\|T\|$$

is true for all continuous m–linear forms $T : \underbrace{\ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n}_{k-1 \text{ times}} \times \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and for all $i = 1, ..., m$. Let us prove that

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}}} \leq C_m\|T\|$$

for all continuous m–linear forms $T : \underbrace{\ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n}_{k \text{ times}} \times \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \to \mathbb{K}$ and for all $i = 1, ..., m$.

The initial case (the case $k = 0$) is precisely (4) with C_m as in (5).

Consider

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(\underbrace{\ell_p^n, ..., \ell_p^n}_{k \text{ times}}, \ell_\infty^n, ..., \ell_\infty^n; \mathbb{K})$$

and for each $x \in B_{\ell_p^n}$ define

$$\begin{array}{rccl} T^{(x)} & : & \underbrace{\ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n}_{k-1 \text{ times}} \times \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n & \to & \mathbb{K} \\ & & (z^{(1)}, ..., z^{(m)}) & \mapsto & T(z^{(1)}, ..., z^{(k-1)}, xz^{(k)}, z^{(k+1)}, ..., z^{(m)}), \end{array}$$

with $xz^{(k)} = (x_j z_j^{(k)})_{j=1}^n$. Observe that

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T^{(x)}\| : x \in B_{\ell_p^n}\}.$$

By applying the induction hypothesis to $T^{(x)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s|x_{j_k}|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_{k-1}},xe_{j_k},e_{j_{k+1}},...,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\left|T^{(x)}\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)\right|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ &\leq C_m\|T^{(x)}\| \\ &\leq C_m\|T\| \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for all $i = 1, ..., m$.

We will analyze two cases:

1) $i = k$

Since

$$\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{j-1}}\right)^* = \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{j-1}}$$

for all $j=1,...,m$, we conclude that

$$\left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k}}$$

$$=\left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^*}}$$

$$=\left(\left\|\left(\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)_{j_k=1}^{n}\right\|_{\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}}$$

$$=\left(\sup_{y\in B_{\ell_{\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}}^n}}\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}|y_{j_k}|\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}}$$

$$=\left(\sup_{x\in B_{\ell_p^n}}\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}|x_{j_k}|^{\lambda_{k-1}}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}}$$

$$=\sup_{x\in B_{\ell_p^n}}\left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T\left(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m}\right)|^s|x_{j_k}|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}}$$

$$\leq C_m\|T\|.$$

where the last inequality holds by (6).

2) $i\neq k$

It is important to note that $\lambda_{k-1}<\lambda_k\leq s$. Denoting, for $i=1,....,m$,

$$S_i=\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

we get

$$\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_k}=\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}S_i^{\lambda_k}=\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}S_i^{\lambda_k-s}S_i^s$$

$$=\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_k}}=\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_k}}$$

$$=\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{s(s-\lambda_k)}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}}{S_i^{s-\lambda_k}}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\frac{s(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}.$$

Therefore, using Hölder's inequality twice we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_k}\\
&\leq \sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{s-\lambda_k}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1}}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \qquad (7)\\
&\leq \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k}\cdot\frac{s-\lambda_k}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\cdot\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know from the case $i=k$ that

$$\left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k}\cdot\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\leq (C_m\|T\|)^{\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}. \qquad (8)$$

Now we investigate the first factor in (7). From Hölder's inequality and (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k}} &= \left\|\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_k}}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\right)_{j_k=1}^{n}\right\|_{\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}\right)^*}\\
&= \sup_{y\in B_{\ell_{\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}}^n}}\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}|y_{j_k}|\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} = \sup_{x\in B_{\ell_p^n}}\sum_{j_k=1}^{n}\sum_{\widehat{j_k}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}|x_{j_k}|^{\lambda_{k-1}}\\
&= \sup_{x\in B_{\ell_p^n}}\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^{\lambda_{k-1}}|x_{j_k}|^{\lambda_{k-1}} \qquad (9)\\
&\leq \sup_{x\in B_{\ell_p^n}}\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}\frac{|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^s}\right)^{\frac{s-\lambda_{k-1}}{s}}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s|x_{j_k}|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}}\\
&= \sup_{x\in B_{\ell_p^n}}\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s|x_{j_k}|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_{k-1}} \leq (C_m\|T\|)^{\lambda_{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing (8) and (9) in (7) we finally conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j_i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{\widehat{j_i}=1}^{n}|T(e_{j_1},...,e_{j_m})|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}\lambda_k} &\leq (C_m\|T\|)^{\lambda_{k-1}\frac{s-\lambda_k}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}(C_m\|T\|)^{\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}\\
&= (C_m\|T\|)^{\lambda_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_m = s$ the proof is done.

3. Constants with subpolynomial growth

The optimal constants of the Khinchin's inequality (these constants are due to U. Haagerup [7]) are

$$A_q = \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $q > q_0 \cong 1.847$ and

$$A_q = 2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $q \leq q_0$, where $q_0 \in (0,2)$ is the unique real number satisfying

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{q_0+1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

For complex scalars if we use the Khinchin inequality for Steinhaus variables we have

$$A_q = \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{q+2}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $1 \leq q < 2$ (see [9]).

The best known upper estimates for $B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}}$ (from [3]) are

$$B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}} \leq \prod_{j=2}^{m} A_{\frac{2j-2}{j}}^{-1}.$$

Combining these results we have

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(2^{\frac{4m^2-pm-2m}{2p-4m}+\frac{446381}{55440}} \prod_{j=14}^{m} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{j}{2-2j}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}} \quad \text{for } m \geq 14$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{m} 2^{\frac{1}{2j-2}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 13$$

and

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{m} \Gamma\left(2-\frac{1}{j}\right)^{\frac{j}{2-2j}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}.$$

From [3] we know that there is a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}} \leq \kappa m^{\frac{2-\ln 2-\gamma}{2}} < \kappa m^{0.37},$$

$$B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}} \leq \kappa m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} < \kappa m^{0.22},$$

for all m, where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We thus conclude that if $p \geq m^2$ then $\left(C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}}\right)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ has a subpolynomial growth.

References

[1] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. Seoane–Sepúlveda, Sharp generalizations of the multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), 3726–3740.

[2] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. Seoane–Sepúlveda, Optimal Hardy–Littlewood type inequalities for polynomials and multilinear operators, arXiv:1311.3177v3 [math.FA].

[3] F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, The Bohr radius of the n–dimensional polydisc is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$, arXiv:1310.2834v2 [math.FA] 15 Oct 2013.

[4] H. F. Bohnenblust and E. Hille, On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series, Ann. of Math. **32** (1931), 600–622.

[5] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[6] V. Dimant and P. Sevilla–Peris, Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces, arXiv:1309.6063v1 [math.FA].

[7] U. Haagerup, The best constants in the Khinchine inequality, Studia Math. **70** (1982) 231–283.

[8] G. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Bilinear forms bounded in space $[p,q]$, Quart. J. Math. **5** (1934).

[9] H. König. On the best constants in the Khintchine inequality for variables on spheres. Math. Seminar, Universität Kiel, 1998.

[10] D. Nuñez-Alarcón, D. Pellegrino, J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, On the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality and a variant of Littlewood's 4/3 inequality, J. Funct. Anal. **264** (2013), 326–336.

[11] D. Nuñez, D. Pellegrino and J.B. Seoane, D. M. Serrano-Rodriguez, There exist multilinear Bohnenblust-Hille constants $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty}(C_{n+1}-C_n)=0$, J. Funct. Anal. **264** (2013), 429–463.

[12] T. Praciano–Pereira, On bounded multilinear forms on a class of ℓ_p spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **81** (1981), 561–568.

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: gdasaraujo@gmail.com

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil.
E-mail address: pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br and dmpellegrino@gmail.com

Unidade Academica de Matematica e Estatistica, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Caixa Postal 10044, 58.429-270 - Campina Grande- PB, Brazil.
E-mail address: diogo@dme.ufcg.edu.br